

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/782,574	0:	2/18/2004	Ho Lu	JCLA12196	4739	
23900	7590	12/08/2005		EXAMINER		
J C PATEN			KOVAL, MELISSA J			
4 VENTUR IRVINE, C		50		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
mevine, c	2010			2851		
				DATE MAILED: 12/08/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1/C						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)							
	10/782,574	LU ET AL.							
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Melissa J. Koval	2851							
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress						
reliou for Kepiy									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).							
Status									
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 N	ovember 2005.								
·	action is non-final.								
,									
closed in accordance with the practice under E									
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.								
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 July 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
·									
Attachment(s)									
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D								
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08))-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:								

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7, 10, 11, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ramanujan et al. U.S. Patent Application US 2002/0118375 A1.

Ramanujan clearly teaches the usage of wire grid polarizers as described below.

Claim 1 sets forth: "An optical projection system, capable of receiving a first light beam, a second light beam, and a third light beam, the projection system comprising (See Figure 3a with light sources 20, 22 and 26.):

a color-combination prism, having a first surface, a second surface and a third surface, allowing the first, second and third light beams to respectively enter the first, second and third surfaces, so as to form a mixed beam to emit out from another surface (See combining prism 86.);

a projection lens set for receiving the mixed beam for projection (Print lens assembly 110.);

a first wire grid polarizer (WGP) (See polarizing beam splitter 80 and paragraph [0073].), a second WGP (See polarizing beam splitter 82 and paragraph [0073].) and a third WGP (See polarizing beam splitter 84 and paragraph [0073].) for respectively

Art Unit: 2851

receiving and polarizing the first, second and third light beams and respectively reflecting the polarized beams to a first liquid crystal reflection panel (See reflective LCD modulator 90.), a second liquid crystal reflection panel (See reflective LCD modulator 95.) and a third liquid crystal panel (See reflective LCD modulator 97.), wherein the first, second and third liquid crystal reflection panels are respectively positioned substantially-parallel to the first, second and third surfaces of the color combination prism, and wherein the first, second and third liquid crystal reflection panels respectively receive the polarized light beams from the first, second and third WGPS and reflect polarized light beams to the first, second and third surfaces of the color combination prism.

Claim 2 sets forth: "The optical projection system of claim 1, wherein each of the first, second and third WGPS allows a light component with a first polarization state to pass, and reflects a light component with a second polarization state." See paragraphs [0063], [0064], and [0065], for example.

Claim 3 sets forth: "The optical projection system of claim 1, wherein each of the first, second and third liquid crystal reflection panels includes a plurality of pixels, hereby an incident polarization state with respect to each of the pixels can be changed to the desired polarization state, so as to transmit the WGPS." See paragraph [0008].

Claim 4 sets forth: "The optical projection system of claim 3, wherein the first, second and third liquid crystal reflection panels provide an image pattern by changing the polarization state." See paragraph [0079].

Claim 5 sets forth: "The optical projection system of claim 1, wherein the color-combination prism includes an X-cube." Combining prism 86 is described as an x-cube

Art Unit: 2851

in paragraph [0061], for example, and can be clearly seen as such in Figure 3a, for example.

Claim 6 sets forth: "The optical projection system of claim 1, further comprising a first color splitter, to split a light source into a first primary color beam and a color mixing beam." Refer to the embodiment of Figure 1. See dichroic beam splitter 60.

Claim 7 sets forth: "The optical projection system of claim 6, further comprising a second color splitter, to split the color mixing beam into a second primary color beam and a first primary color beam." Refer to the embodiment of Figure 1. See dichroic beam splitters 63 and 65.

Claims 10, 11, 13 and 14 are rejected for the same reasons already applied to claims 1 through 5 above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8, 9, 12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ramanujan et al. U.S. Patent Application US 2002/0118375 A1 in view of Hansen et al. U.S. Patent 6,666,556 B2.

Art Unit: 2851

Ramanujan et al. '375 A1 teach all of the elements of claim 8, except that '375 A1 are silent to the presence of a polarizer disposed in the light path of each of the first, second and third light beams, as set forth in claim 8.

See Figure 1a of Hansen '556 B2, for example. Also see pre-polarizers 26a-c as discussed by '556 B2 in column 11, lines 41 through 57. The use of a pre-polarizer to further direct light to the remaining components in the system, including an arrangement of wire grid polarizers, is well known in the art as taught by '556 B2.

The color image projection systems of Ramanujan et al. '375 A1 and Hansen '556 B2 are analagous. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the addition of a pre-polarizer as taught by '556 B2 to further direct light to components including an array of wire grid polarizers as taught by '375 A1, thus meeting the limitations of claim 8. The motivation for one having ordinary skill in the art to make such an addition would be to achieve a brighter system with less loss of stray light, improve color fidelity, extend the life of the system and lower costs, for example.

With respect to claims 9 and 12, see the effect of the incorporation of a CMOS back panel to reflective LCD panels as taught by Ramanujan et al. '375 A1 in paragraph [0078]. Furthermore, Hansen '556 B2 teaches the use LCOS panels in column 1, lines 34 through 53.

With respect to claim 15, combining prism 86 is described as an x-cube in paragraph [0061], for example, and can be clearly seen as such in Figure 3a, for example.

Application/Control Number: 10/782,574 Page 6

Art Unit: 2851

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 18, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., The following remarks on page 8: "In other words, Ramanujan fails to at least teach or disclose the first, second and third wire grid polarizers (WGBs) (each of which is generally comprised of a plurality of wire grids having an interelement spacing of less than one wavelength supported by a substrate of electrically insulative material) as specified in claim 1 of the claimed invention, "etc. and "Applicants would like to particularly point out that because Ramanujan substantially teaches using PBS prisms for polarizing the light beams, therefore it is clear that Ramanujan fails to recognize the light leakage problems associated with use of PBS prisms for polarizing light as described above. Thus, the device of Ramanujan cannot possibly anticipate every features of the claimed invention as claimed in claim 1 in this regard.") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa J. Koval whose telephone number is (571) 272-2121. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

Art Unit: 2851

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melissa Jan Koval Primary Examiner Art unit 2851 MJK Malissa ova

Page 7